



Routine vaccinations for HIV-1 infected adults

Stephen J Kent MBBS MD FRACP

Anna B Pierce MBBS FRACP

This paper discusses common vaccines likely to be considered for routine (not travel-or epidemic-related) immunisation of HIV-1 infected adults in Australia. Several well reasoned discussions and recommendations regarding vaccination of HIV-1 infected adults have been written and the reader is referred to these also.^{1,2}

Principles

1. HIV-1 infected individuals should not receive any live viral or bacterial vaccines (eg. Measles/Mumps/Rubella monovalent Rubella, BCG, yellow fever, oral Typhoid Ty21a, varicella-zoster, oral Polio vaccinia). There have been instances of lethal infections with live vaccinia and measles vaccines following vaccination of HIV-infected persons.³
2. Immunisation of most HIV-infected individuals will cause a modest (approximately 0.5 log) rise in HIV-1 RNA levels. The rise in HIV-1 RNA levels is transient (about 4 weeks).⁴ The clinical significance of this transient increase in HIV-1 replication is uncertain, but likely to be minimal. An analysis of an Amsterdam cohort did not show a significant change of HIV progression associated with vaccines, although this was performed in an era prior to the availability of effective antiretroviral therapy.⁵ Adequate antiretroviral treatment will blunt the increase in HIV-1 replication but probably not ameliorate it all together. To date there have not been reports of development of HIV-1 resistance associated with rises in HIV-1 RNA levels following vaccination of individuals on antiretroviral therapy. In an SIV/monkey model, T cell activation associated with BCG or PPD exposure was shown to result in increased genetic diversity of SIV in vivo.⁶ Substantially greater rates of genetic diversity (and potential induction of antiretroviral resistance) would most likely be induced by vaccine-preventable infections compared to vaccination, although the comparative risk has not been quantified.
3. Response to vaccination of HIV-infected individuals is suboptimal compared to HIV-uninfected individuals.⁷ Response to vaccination decreases with falling CD4 cell counts (especially < 100/ μ l), symptomatic HIV-1 infection and probably high HIV-1 RNA levels.⁶ Vaccinations are most immunogenic when given to asymptomatic patients with higher CD4 cell counts and lower HIV-1 RNA levels. Whether rises in CD4 cell counts and reduction in HIV-1 RNA levels induced by antiretroviral therapy will result in greater immunogenicity of vaccines is unknown, although recovery of other immune parameters such as CMV-specific immunity suggests this is likely.⁸ It is reasonable to recommend, pending further data, that if combination antiretroviral therapy is going to be instituted in the near future and the individual likely to return for follow up, vaccinations could be delayed to a few months following therapy when a better response is more likely to be achieved.



Recommended vaccines

Hepatitis B vaccine

A course of Hepatitis B vaccination should be given to all hepatitis B non-immune HIV-infected persons. HIV-infected persons are at a higher risk of both contracting hepatitis B infection and becoming long term carriers.

Hepatitis A vaccine

A course of Hepatitis A vaccination should be given to all HIV-infected, hepatitis A non-immune individuals. The majority of infected subjects in Australia are homosexual or bisexual men, injecting drug users or partners of these individuals and are therefore at high risk of hepatitis A infection.

Vaccines which should be considered

1. Influenza vaccine

There is little evidence to date that influenza infection is either more common, more severe, or associated with enhanced HIV progression in HIV-1 infected individuals compared to controls. Some infections (eg. CMV disease, tuberculosis) have been associated with accelerated HIV-1 disease progression, however these infections generally either only complicate already progressive HIV-1 infection or are chronic infections, unlike influenza.^{9,10} None the less, it remains possible that influenza infection could accelerate HIV-1 disease progression in a subset of infected persons.

The efficacy of influenza vaccination of HIV-1 infected individuals is unknown but likely to be low in persons with advanced disease.^{11,12} A reduced antibody response is seen in HIV-infected individuals compared to controls, with a significant correlation between antibody response and CD4 cell count.^{13,14} Those with a CD4 cell count < 100/ml have a severely impaired antibody response. Efficacy would also depend on the success in predicting circulating strains in a given year. Presumably the increase in HIV replication associated with influenza infection would be greater than that associated with yearly vaccination, but the magnitude of the difference is unknown.^{15,16} There have been many conflicting results reported regarding increases in plasma HIV RNA levels following immunisation with some studies reporting an increase in HIV expression and others showing no increase.¹³ When increases in viral load are seen they are usually transient. A recent publication of data from the Adult and Adolescent spectrum of HIV disease Surveillance (ASD) Project in the United States found that influenza vaccination was associated with no differences in change in CD4 cell count or HIV RNA level 3-12 months after vaccination. There was also no evidence that influenza vaccination was associated with increased progression to HIV disease or to death among persons with HIV infection.¹⁷ The theoretical risk of enhancing HIV-1 progression of annual vaccinations versus an averted episode of influenza infection is highly speculative. Natural influenza infection imparts more effective and durable immunity in HIV-uninfected humans than does vaccination but this has not been demonstrated in HIV-infected individuals. In the absence of more compelling data, yearly influenza vaccination should be discussed with each individual HIV-infected patient. HIV-1 infected individuals at risk of complications of influenza infection due to advanced age, chronic respiratory or cardiovascular disease should receive influenza vaccination.

Contact with large numbers of the public or other HIV-infected persons might be one factor to consider, although there is little data that this imparts a substantially higher risk of acquisition or spread of the infection. In an epidemic year, vaccination should be more strongly considered. Prophylaxis and early treatment with amantadine/rimantidine and early treatment with neuraminidase inhibitors as they become available should also be considered.



2. Tetanus/diphtheria/pertussis

In general, HIV-infected adults should be revaccinated with adult diphtheria/tetanus vaccine as for HIV-uninfected adults. The NHMRC guidelines for 2000 no longer recommend regular booster doses at 10 yearly intervals as immunity following complete vaccination is long lasting. A booster dose is recommended at age 50. Older adults who have not received a dose at age 50 should receive a booster vaccination if more than 10 years have elapsed since the last dose.¹⁸ The risk of diphtheria or tetanus disease is, however, extremely low and many practitioners may wish to discuss the balance between the risk of disease acquisition and vaccine-induced transient HIV replication with their patients. Adult pertussis infection is becoming more common in Australia¹⁹, although there is no evidence to date that adult pertussis infection is either more common, more severe, or associated with enhanced HIV progression in HIV-1 infected individuals compared to controls. Although there is no data available to date, if pertussis vaccination is considered it might be prudent to use acellular pertussis vaccines since the decreased reactogenicity compared to the cellular pertussis vaccine is likely to be associated with smaller rises in HIV-1 RNA levels.²⁰

Vaccines not recommended

Pneumococcal vaccine

HIV infected individuals have a high rate of pneumococcal disease and *S. pneumoniae* has increasing antibiotic resistance.²¹ A recent randomised placebo controlled trial in Ugandan adults showed that 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccination demonstrated no reduction in pneumococcal disease or mortality, but an increase in pneumonia of all causes.²² Adjusted analysis of first pneumonic events showed a hazard ratio of 2.02 (95% CI 1.19-3.42, $p=0.008$) for the vaccine group compared to placebo. Although a different setting than Australia, based on this single well-conducted randomised study, pneumococcal vaccination can no longer be unreservedly recommended for HIV-infected adults. In contrast to that study, a retrospective case control study in the USA showed significant protection from vaccination, particularly in Caucasians.²³ Overall vaccine efficacy was 49% (95% CI 12%-70%) and in whites, vaccine efficacy was 76% (95% CI 35%-91%). However, patients with pneumonia of unknown aetiology (potentially including pneumococcal pneumonia) were not excluded as controls and therefore efficacy of the vaccine may have been overestimated. CD4 count at the time of vaccination was also not known for this study. Other studies have only shown vaccine efficacy in those with a CD4 count > 500/ml at the time of vaccination.²⁴ Randomised studies in developed countries are clearly needed to make a firm recommendation; however given that the recommendation is still in current published guidelines and that large numbers (> 20 000 person years of follow-up) would be required to adequately power such a study, such data is unlikely to be available soon. Newer conjugate pneumococcal vaccines will become available shortly which may be more immunogenic; however one study has shown no significant difference in antibody response between this and pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine in HIV-infected patients.⁷

References

1. 1999 USPHS/IDSA guidelines for the prevention of opportunistic infections in persons infected with human immunodeficiency virus [In Process Citation]. *Clin Infect Dis* 2000; 30 S1:S29-65.
2. Bartlett J. Disease prevention - vaccines. In: Bartlett J, editor. *Medical management of HIV infection*, 1998: 41-58.
3. Measles pneumonitis following measles-mumps-rubella vaccination of a patient with HIV infection, 1993. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 1996; 45(28):603-6.
4. Stanley S, Ostrowski MA, Justement JS, Gantt K, Hedayati S, Mannix M, et al. Effect of immunization with a common recall antigen on viral expression in patients infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1. *N Engl J Med* 1996; 334(19):1222-30.



5. Kroon FP, van Dissel JT, de Jong JC, van Furth R. Antibody response to influenza, tetanus and pneumococcal vaccines in HIV-seropositive individuals in relation to the number of CD4+ lymphocytes. *AIDS* 1994; 8: 469-76.
6. Cheynier R, Gratton S, Halloran M, Stahmer I, Letvin NL, Wain-Hobson S. Antigenic stimulation by BCG vaccine as an in vivo driving force for SIV replication and dissemination. *Nat Med* 1998; 4(4): 421-7.
7. Ahmed F, Steinhoff MC, Rodriguez-Barradas MC, Hamilton RG, Musher DM, Nelson KE. Effect of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection on the antibody response to a glycoprotein conjugate pneumococcal vaccine: results from a randomized trial. *J Infect Dis* 1996; 173(1): 83-90.
8. Komanduri KV, Viswanathan MN, Wieder E, Schmidt D, Bredt B, Jacobson MA, et al. Restoration of CMV-specific CD4+ T lymphocyte responses after ganciclovir and highly active antiretroviral therapy in individuals infected with HIV-1. *Nature Med* 1998; 4: 953-6.
9. Manoff SB, Farzadegan H, Munoz A, Astemborski JA, Vlahov D, Rizzo RT, et al. The effect of latent Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection on human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) disease progression and HIV RNA load among injecting drug users. *J Infect Dis* 1996; 174(2): 299-308.
10. Leroy V, Salmi LR, Dupon M, Sentilhes A, Texier-Maugein J, Dequae L, et al. Progression of human immunodeficiency virus infection in patients with tuberculosis disease. A cohort study in Bordeaux, France, 1988-1994. The Groupe d'Epidemiologie Clinique du Sida en Aquitaine (GECSA). *Am J Epidemiol* 1997; 145(4): 293-300.
11. Fowke KR, D'Amico R, Chernoff DN, Pottage JC, Jr., Benson CA, Sha BE, et al. Immunologic and virologic evaluation after influenza vaccination of HIV-1-infected patients. *AIDS* 1997; 11(8): 1013-21.
12. Iorio AM, Alatri A, Francisci D, Preziosi R, Neri M, Donatelli I, et al. Immunogenicity of influenza vaccine (1993-94 winter season) in HIV-seropositive and seronegative ex-intravenous drug users. *Vaccine* 1997; 15(1): 97-102.
13. Fuller JD, Craven DE, Steger KA, Cox N, Heeren TC, Chernoff D. Influenza vaccination of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-infected adults: impact on plasma levels of HIV type 1 RNA and determinants of antibody response [see comments]. *Clin Infect Dis* 1999; 28(3): 541-7.
14. Kroon FP, van Dissel JT, de Jong JC, Zwinderman K, van Furth R. Antibody response after influenza vaccination in HIV-infected individuals: a consecutive 3-year study. *Vaccine* 2000; 18(26): 3040-9.
15. Staprans SI, Hamilton BL, Follansbee SE, Elbeik T, Barbosa P, Grant RM, et al. Activation of virus replication after vaccination of HIV-1-infected individuals. *J Exp Med* 1995; 182(6): 1727-37.
16. O'Brien WA, Grovit-Ferbas K, Namazi A, Ovcak-Derzic S, Wang HJ, Park J, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus-type 1 replication can be increased in peripheral blood of seropositive patients after influenza vaccination. *Blood* 1995; 86(3): 1082-9.
17. Sullivan PS, Hanson DL, Dworkin MS, Jones JL, Ward JW. Effect of influenza vaccination on disease progression among HIV-infected persons. *Adult and Adolescent Spectrum of HIV Disease Investigators*. [In Process Citation]. *AIDS* 2000; 14(17): 2781-5.
18. National Health and Medical Research Council. *The Australian Immunisation Handbook*, 7th edition. Canberra: Australian Government Publishing Service, 2000.
19. Communicable diseases surveillance. Pertussis epidemic. *Commun Dis Intell* 1997; 21(4): 49-55.
20. Boughton CR. Pertussis vaccines: acellular versus whole-cell. *Med J Aust* 1996; 164(9): 564-6.
21. Gebo KA, Moore RD, Keruly JC, Chaisson RE. Risk factors for pneumococcal disease in human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients. *J Infect Dis* 1996; 173(4): 857-62.
22. French N, Nakyingi J, Carpenter LM, Lugada E, Watera C, Moi K, et al. 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine in HIV-1-infected Ugandan adults: double-blind, randomised and placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2000; 355: 2106-11.
23. Breiman RF, Keller DW, Phelan MA, Sniadack DH, Stephens DS, Rimland D, et al. Evaluation of effectiveness of the 23-valent pneumococcal capsular polysaccharide vaccine for HIV-infected patients. *Arch Intern Med* 2000; 160(17): 2633-8.
24. Dworkin MS, Hanson DS, Ward JW, Kaplan JE, Jones JL. Pneumococcal Disease and The Impact of Pneumococcal Vaccine In HIV-Infected Persons [abstract 377]. In: Program and abstracts of the IDSA 37th Annual Meeting 1999.
25. Butler JC, Breiman RF, Campbell JF, Lipman HB, Broome CV, Facklam RR. Pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine efficacy. An evaluation of current recommendations. *JAMA* 1993; 270: 1826-31. ■